



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/634,182	08/05/2003	Jie Jack Li	PC25274A	7102
28880 73	590 07/28/2005		EXAMINER	
WARNER-LAMBERT COMPANY			RAO, DEEPAK R	
2800 PLYMOUTH RD ANN ARBOR, MI 48105			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			1624	•

DATE MAILED: 07/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
·	10/634,182	LI, JIE JACK			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Deepak Rao	1624			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	I	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 Au	<u>ıgust 2003</u> .				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	nce except for formal matters, pro	esecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 1-17 ►/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) 1-17 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the c	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· ·			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prioric application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	_				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)			

le

Application/Control Number: 10/634,182

Art Unit: 1624

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-17 are pending in this application.

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-17, drawn to compounds of formula (I) wherein Y<sup>4</sup> is -N= or -NH- and Y<sup>3</sup>, U<sup>5</sup>, U<sup>6</sup> and U<sup>8</sup> are each carbons; or Y<sup>3</sup>, Y<sup>4</sup> and U<sup>6</sup> are each carbons, one of U<sup>5</sup> and U<sup>8</sup> is N and the other is carbon (i.e., Quinoline core) corresponding composition and method of use, classified in class 546, subclass various.
- II. Claims 1-4, 9-10, 14 and 16-17, drawn to compounds of formula (I) wherein Y<sup>3</sup>,
   Y<sup>4</sup>, U<sup>5</sup>, U<sup>6</sup> and U<sup>8</sup> are carbons (i.e., Naphthalene core), corresponding
   composition and method of use, classified in class 560-564, subclass various.
- III. Claims 1-3, 9-10, 14 and 16-17, drawn to compounds of formula (I) wherein Y<sup>4</sup> is
   -O-; Y<sup>3</sup>, U<sup>5</sup>, U<sup>6</sup> and U<sup>8</sup> are each carbons (i.e., Benzopyran core), corresponding composition and method of use, classified in class 549, subclass various.
- IV. Claims 1-4, 9-10, 14 and 16-17, drawn to compounds of formula (I) wherein U<sup>6</sup> is N, U<sup>5</sup> and U<sup>8</sup> are carbons and Y<sup>3</sup> and Y<sup>4</sup> are as defined in the claims (i.e., Isoquinoline, etc.); corresponding composition and method of use, classified in class 546, subclass various.
- V. Claims 1-4, 9-10, 14 and 16-17, drawn to compounds of formula (I) wherein U<sup>6</sup> is carbon, one of U<sup>5</sup> and U<sup>8</sup> is N and the other is carbon and Y<sup>4</sup> is -N=, -NH- or -O-

Application/Control Number: 10/634,182

Art Unit: 1624

(i.e., Naphthyridine core, etc.) corresponding composition and method of use, classified in class 546, subclass various.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

The compounds of Groups I-V are drawn to structurally dissimilar compounds. They are made independently and used independently. They would be expected to raise different issues of patentability if a compound of Group I was anticipated, the anticipatory reference would not necessarily render obvious a compound of groups II-V or vice-versa. They are not art-recognized equivalents, they are separately classified and require separate burdensome searches both in the literature and computer databases.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their different classification and recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Claims 1-17 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising the species disclosed in the Examples of the specification. In addition to election of a single group from above, applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species falling within the elected group, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

This restriction is being set forth in writing due to its lengthy nature and to facilitate applicant with sufficient information, to make an informed and correct election of the invention applicants would wish to have prosecuted in the application.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Deepak Rao whose telephone number is (571) 272-0672. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 6:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson, Acting-SPE of 1624, can be reached at (571) 272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

Application/Control Number: 10/634,182 Page 5

Art Unit: 1624

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Deepak Rao

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1624

July 25, 2005